



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Admistrative Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,121	04/23/2004	Jacques Habatjou	119426	9007
25944	7590	02/02/2009	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			BOECKMANN, JASON J	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3752		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/830,121	Applicant(s) HABATJOU, JACQUES
	Examiner Jason J. Boeckmann	Art Unit 3752

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason J. Boeckmann. (3) _____.

(2) Jared Silver. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,4,20 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Krautzberger (1,603,612), Coffee (4,309,685), Schillig (DE 35 17122), Bara (US 2003/0108487) and Rookard (4,272,768).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The after final amendment was discussed in view of the references. Regarding claim 20 we discussed the meaning of "receiving a vector gas supply." Regarding claim 1 we discussed the inoperability of the valve member of Schillig in view of Coffee and Rookard. Regarding claim 21, the control road being secured to the pressurized receptacle.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.